UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
-against- THE CITY OF NEW YORK	Plaintiffs,	ANSWER  07-cv-08695 (HB) (MHD)  JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
	Defendant.	
	X	

Defendant City of New York, by its attorney Michael A. Cardozo, Corporation Counsel of the City of New York, for its answer to the complaint, respectfully allege, upon information and belief, as follows.

- 1. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph "1" of the complaint.
- 2. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph "2" of the complaint, except admits that the City of New York is a municipal corporation organized and existing pursuant to the laws of the State of New York.
- 3. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph "3" of the complaint, except admits that plaintiff purports to invoke the jurisdiction of the Court as stated therein.
- 4. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph "4" of the complaint, except admits that plaintiff purports to base venue as stated therein.
- 5. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph "5" of the complaint.
  - 6. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph "6" of the complaint.
  - 7. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph "7" of the complaint.

- 8. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph "8" of the complaint, except admit that on May 18, 2005, the charges against plaintiff were dismissed.
  - 9. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph "9" of the complaint.
  - 10. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph "10" of the complaint.
  - 11. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph "11" of the complaint.
  - 12. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph "12" of the complaint.

## AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

13. The complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

### **AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:**

14. Defendant has not violated any rights, privileges or immunities under the Constitution or laws of the United States or the State of New York or any political subdivision thereof.

#### **AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:**

15. At all times relevant to the acts alleged in the complaint, the duties and functions of the municipal defendant's officials entailed the reasonable exercise of proper and lawful discretion. Therefore, defendant City has governmental immunity from liability.

#### AS AND FOR A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

16. Any injury alleged to have been sustained resulted from plaintiff's own culpable or negligent conduct and was not the proximate result of any act of defendant.

### **AS AND FOR A FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:**

17. There was probable cause for plaintiff's arrest, detention and/or prosecution.

### AS AND FOR A SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

18. Plaintiff provoked any incident.

# **AS AND FOR A SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:**

19. Punitive damages cannot be assessed against the City of New York.

## **AS AND FOR A EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:**

20. Plaintiff's claims may be barred in whole or in part by the applicable statute of limitations.

## **AS AND FOR A NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:**

21. Plaintiff has failed to comply with General Municipal Law § 50(e) and (i).

## **AS AND FOR A TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:**

22. At all times relevant to the incident, defendant acted reasonably in the proper and lawful exercise of his discretion.

## **AS AND FOR A ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:**

23. Plaintiff's claims may be barred in whole or in part for failure to comply with the applicable conditions precedent to suit.

WHEREFORE, defendant requests judgment dismissing the complaint in its entirety, together with the costs and disbursements of this action, and such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York February 13, 2008

> MICHAEL A. CARDOZO Corporation Counsel of the City of New York Attorney for Defendants 100 Church Street New York, New York 10007 (212) 676-1347

By: /s/
Brooke Birnbaum (BB 8338)
Assistant Corporation Counsel
Special Federal Litigation Division

To: Mr. Gary Fish, Esq. (via ECF)

Index No. 07-cv-08695 (HB)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
AHMED A. BASSETT,		
Plaintiffs,		
-against-		
THE CITY OF NEW YORK		
Defendant.		
ANSWER		
MICHAEL A. CARDOZO		
Corporation Counsel of the City of New York		
Attorney for Defendants		
100 Church Street		
New York, New York 10007		
Of Counsel: Brooke Birnbaum		
Tel: (212) 676-1347		
NYCLIS No.		
Due and timely service is hereby admitted.		
New York, N.Y, 200		

Attorney for .....